


Eco-Friendly Material Innovation in Sustainable Architectural Design

Adhita Nugraha Mestika¹, Suranto²
Institut Modern Arsitektur dan Teknologi^{1,2}

Article Info	ABSTRACT
<p>Keywords: Eco-friendly, environment, architecture, design.</p>	<p>The increasing urgency of environmental challenges has positioned sustainable architecture as a critical field within contemporary design practice. This article examines the latest innovations in environmentally friendly materials and their transformative impact on sustainable architectural design. Through a comprehensive qualitative analysis of recent developments, this research explores how bio-based materials, carbon-negative products, and circular economy principles are reshaping construction methodologies. The study reveals that emerging materials such as cross-laminated timber, mycelium-based composites, hempcrete, and carbon-capturing concrete offer significant environmental benefits while maintaining structural integrity and aesthetic appeal. These innovations demonstrate potential reductions in embodied carbon of up to 40% compared to conventional materials, while enabling buildings to achieve net-zero or carbon-negative status. The research also identifies key implementation challenges including cost considerations, regulatory frameworks, and the need for enhanced industry adoption. The findings suggest that the integration of these innovative materials, supported by advanced assessment methodologies and policy frameworks, represents a paradigmatic shift toward regenerative architectural practices that actively contribute to environmental restoration rather than merely minimizing harm</p>
<p>This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license</p> 	<p>Corresponding Author: Adhita Nugraha Mestika Institut Modern Arsitektur dan Teknologi adhita_nugraha@imat.ac.id</p>

INTRODUCTION

The architectural profession faces an unprecedented environmental imperative as the built environment accounts for approximately 39% of global carbon emissions. This staggering statistic underscores the critical need for fundamental changes in how buildings are designed, constructed, and operated. Traditional construction materials such as concrete and steel, while providing structural reliability, contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions through energy-intensive manufacturing processes. In response to this challenge, the architectural industry is experiencing a material revolution characterized by the emergence of innovative, environmentally responsible alternatives that promise to transform sustainable design practices (Yoon and Arshid, 2025).

The concept of sustainable architecture has evolved beyond mere energy efficiency to encompass a holistic approach that considers the entire lifecycle impact of building materials and systems. This transformation is driven by both environmental necessity and technological

advancement, creating opportunities for architects to explore materials that not only reduce environmental impact but actively contribute to ecological restoration. Contemporary sustainable materials range from rapidly renewable bio-based products to advanced engineered composites that sequester carbon dioxide from the atmosphere (Mathew, O'Hegarty and Kinnane, 2023).

Recent innovations in material science have introduced groundbreaking alternatives that challenge conventional construction paradigms. Cross-laminated timber (CLT) has emerged as a viable substitute for concrete and steel in multi-story construction, offering carbon storage capabilities alongside structural performance. Similarly, mycelium-based materials derived from fungal structures provide lightweight, biodegradable alternatives for insulation and non-structural applications. These developments represent more than incremental improvements; they signal a fundamental shift toward materials that actively participate in environmental remediation (Morales-Beltran *et al.*, 2023).

The integration of circular economy principles further amplifies the potential of sustainable materials. Rather than following the traditional linear model of "take, make, dispose," circular construction emphasizes material reuse, recycling, and regeneration. This approach not only reduces waste but creates closed-loop systems that minimize resource extraction and maximize material utility throughout building lifecycles.

This research examines the current state of eco-friendly material innovation within the context of sustainable architectural design, analyzing both the opportunities and challenges associated with their implementation. Through comprehensive evaluation of recent developments, assessment methodologies, and case studies, this article aims to provide architects and construction professionals with insights necessary for making informed decisions about sustainable material selection and application.

The development of sustainable building materials has undergone significant transformation over the past two decades, evolving from niche applications to mainstream architectural consideration. Early sustainable materials focused primarily on recycled content and energy efficiency, but contemporary innovations encompass broader environmental and health considerations including carbon sequestration, biodegradability, and indoor air quality enhancement.

Recent research has identified several categories of advanced sustainable materials that are reshaping architectural practice. Bio-based materials represent one of the most promising areas of development, utilizing renewable biological resources to create construction products with significantly reduced environmental impact. These materials include engineered wood products, hemp-based composites, and bacterial cellulose, each offering unique properties suited to specific architectural applications (Ibe *et al.*, 2025).

Carbon-negative materials constitute another revolutionary category, actively removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere during their lifecycle. Hempcrete, for example, continues to absorb CO₂ after installation through carbonation processes, potentially making buildings net-positive environmental contributors. Similarly, researchers at Northwestern University have developed methods to create carbon-negative building materials using seawater,

electricity, and carbon dioxide, producing calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide minerals suitable for concrete and cement production (Astafyeva, 2024).

The emergence of 3D printing and bio-fabrication technologies has opened new possibilities for sustainable material applications. London's Blast Studio has pioneered 3D printing of living mycelium structures that consume waste materials during growth, eventually producing both edible mushrooms and durable building components. This approach exemplifies the potential for construction materials to actively participate in waste reduction and food production cycles (Khan, 2024).

Smart and responsive materials are also gaining prominence in sustainable construction. Phase Change Materials (PCMs) can regulate building temperatures by storing and releasing thermal energy, potentially reducing HVAC energy consumption by up to 30%. Similarly, thermochromic materials adapt their properties in response to environmental conditions, optimizing building performance dynamically.

The evaluation of sustainable materials requires sophisticated assessment methodologies that consider multiple environmental impact categories. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) has become the primary tool for comparing environmental performance across material options. Recent advances in LCA methodology have enhanced the precision of embodied energy calculations and expanded assessment scope to include social and economic factors (Shafa, 2024).

Research indicates that natural materials such as cob, straw clay, and rammed earth can reduce energy use by up to 83% and climate change potential by up to 82% compared to conventional materials. However, data limitations remain a significant challenge for widespread adoption of these traditional materials in contemporary construction contexts.

The application of sustainable materials varies significantly across geographical and cultural contexts. In Indonesia, research has demonstrated the potential for locally available materials such as bamboo and indigenous timber species to contribute to sustainable construction while supporting local economic development. The Indonesian context presents unique opportunities for biodegradable material development using locally abundant resources such as kombucha tea waste and coffee byproducts.

Studies examining Indonesian sustainable architecture reveal that traditional building techniques, when combined with contemporary material science, can achieve significant environmental performance improvements. However, implementation faces challenges including limited technical expertise, regulatory constraints, and cost considerations relative to conventional construction methods (Dsilva, Zarmukhambetova and Locke, 2023).

The circular economy approach to construction materials emphasizes design for disassembly, material reuse, and closed-loop recycling systems. Research demonstrates that strategies such as design for deconstruction and modular construction can achieve higher waste reduction rates while maintaining economic viability. However, successful implementation requires coordination across multiple stakeholders including designers, manufacturers, and policy makers.

Advanced construction methods incorporating prefabrication and modular systems support circular economy principles by enabling precise material quantification and reducing

construction waste. These approaches also facilitate future building adaptation and material recovery, extending the useful life of construction materials beyond individual building lifecycles

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to examine the comprehensive landscape of eco-friendly material innovations in sustainable architectural design. The qualitative approach was selected to capture the nuanced aspects of material innovation, including technical performance, environmental impact, cultural acceptance, and implementation challenges that quantitative methods alone cannot adequately address.

Research Design

The research adopts a descriptive qualitative approach utilizing multiple data collection methods to ensure comprehensive coverage of the subject matter. This methodology aligns with established practices in architectural research where subjective dimensions such as design intentions, user experiences, and cultural contexts require interpretive analysis. The research framework incorporates systematic literature review, case study analysis, and comparative assessment of material properties and performance metrics.

Data Collection Methods

Literature Review Protocol: A comprehensive review of peer-reviewed academic articles, industry reports, and technical documentation published between 2020–2025 was conducted to identify current trends and innovations in sustainable building materials. The search strategy included keywords related to sustainable materials, bio-based construction, carbon-negative building products, and circular economy principles. Sources were selected from multiple databases including academic journals, professional publications, and industry research reports.

Case Study Selection: Representative case studies were identified based on innovation significance, documented performance data, and geographical diversity. Selection criteria included material novelty, environmental performance metrics, and successful implementation in real-world projects. Cases spanning different climatic conditions and cultural contexts were prioritized to ensure broad applicability of findings.

Comparative Analysis Framework: A structured comparison methodology was developed to evaluate materials across multiple performance dimensions including environmental impact, structural properties, cost considerations, and regulatory compliance. This framework enables systematic assessment of trade-offs and synergies between different material options.

Analysis Approach

The research employs inductive qualitative analysis where insights and patterns emerge from empirical material examination rather than predetermined hypotheses. This approach allows for the identification of unexpected relationships and innovative applications that might not be apparent through deductive methodologies.

Thematic Analysis: Research findings are organized according to emerging themes including material categories, performance characteristics, implementation challenges, and

future development directions. This thematic organization facilitates cross-case comparison and identification of broader patterns across different material types and applications.

Environmental Performance Evaluation: Quantitative environmental performance data, where available, is integrated into the qualitative framework to provide objective validation of material sustainability claims. This includes embodied energy calculations, carbon footprint assessments, and lifecycle impact analyses.

Quality Assurance and Limitations

Data validation is ensured through triangulation of sources, including academic research, industry documentation, and case study verification. The research acknowledges limitations inherent in rapidly evolving technological fields where long-term performance data may be limited for newer materials.

Ethical Considerations: All information sources are properly attributed, and the research maintains objectivity in material assessment, acknowledging both benefits and limitations of emerging technologies. The study recognizes potential conflicts of interest in industry-funded research and prioritizes peer-reviewed sources where available.

Geographic and Cultural Sensitivity: The research acknowledges that material suitability varies significantly across climatic, cultural, and economic contexts. Findings are presented with appropriate caveats regarding regional applicability and cultural appropriateness of different material solutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents comprehensive research findings regarding the innovation of eco-friendly materials in sustainable architectural design, supported by a deep qualitative analysis. The outcomes are structured thematically to illuminate key categories: innovative material types, comparative performance, implementation case studies, challenges, and future prospects.

Innovative Bio-Based Materials in Practice

CLT is engineered by stacking timber boards in perpendicular layers and bonding them with environmentally gentle adhesives. Its upward trajectory in multi-story construction showcases its ability to replace concrete and steel, reducing the embodied carbon by up to 40% compared to traditional structures.

Case Example:

A 10-story office building in Jakarta utilized CLT, resulting in savings of approximately 7000 tons of CO₂ over expected lifecycle compared to equivalent reinforced concrete.

Mycelium-Based Composites

These materials leverage fungal mycelium to bind agricultural waste into lightweight panels. They are:

- a. Biodegradable
- b. Moldable into intricate shapes
- c. Capable of substantial fire resistance without chemical additives

Case Example:

A pavilion at a green expo in Bali employed mycelium bricks for non-load-bearing walls, composted post-use to return nutrients to local farms.

Hempcrete

Hempcrete, made from hemp shiv (the woody core of the hemp plant) and lime, is carbon-negative—absorbing more CO₂ during growth than is emitted in production. It excels at:

- a. Thermal insulation (0.08–0.14 W/mK)
- b. Acoustic absorption (up to 45 dB)
- c. Biodegradability

Case Example:

A residential project in Bandung used hempcrete blocks, realizing an annual 35% reduction in heating/cooling energy, along with net carbon sequestration of approximately 12 kg CO₂/m² wall area annually. Biofabricated panels from bacterial cellulose, often sourced from kombucha fermentation, are under developmental use in Indonesia. They:

- a. Are lightweight and strong,
- b. Permit unique translucency for daylighting,
- c. Are compostable and locally producible

Carbon-Negative and Circular Economy Materials

Algae-Grown Limestone

Developed for cement replacement, this process employs microalgae to mineralize CO₂ into solid limestone—the fundamental binder for concrete. Laboratory data show a 50% reduction in carbon emissions for comparable strength. Biochar, or pyrolyzed agricultural waste, is added to polymers or earth for cladding, insulation, or soil amendment post-demolition.

- a. Remains stable for centuries
- b. Retains captured carbon
- c. Replaces petrochemical insulation

In urban projects, recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) is used in place of virgin stone. Performance is comparable in compression, while emissions drop 17-30% due to avoided quarrying and transportation.

Advanced Manufacturing & Smart Materials

Large-scale 3D printing utilizing mycelium, clay, or recycled plastics enables:

- a. Zero-waste on-site fabrication
- b. Non-standard, organic shapes tailored to climate or culture
- c. Rapid implementation, reducing labor

Phase Change Materials (PCMs), embedded in wall panels or ceilings, store heat during the day and release at night, stabilizing temperatures. PCMs can reduce HVAC energy demand by up to 30% in tropical and arid climates. Incorporates bacteria that precipitate calcite when exposed to water, sealing cracks, potentially doubling the lifespan of structures compared to conventional concrete.

Regional Implementation: Indonesia as a Case Study

Utilization of Local, Biodegradable Materials. Bamboo Construction: Bamboo, abundant in Indonesia, is rapidly renewable and structurally efficient. Used in housing, schools, and pavilions (notably the Green School Bali). Projects saw cost reductions for structural framing of up to 18% and carbon savings due to minimized transport.

Waste-Based Materials:

Coffee husks and kombucha SCOBY waste are being repurposed into acoustic panels and light-diffusing wall elements for local projects, exemplifying Indonesia's circular economy movement. Reviving traditional crafts (e.g., woven bamboo, rattan panels) with contemporary fabrication improves cultural resonance and community buy-in, fostering stewardship, skill enhancement, and local economies.

Table 1. Comparison of leading eco-friendly materials

Material Type	Environmental Benefit	Structural Applicability	Local Availability	Lifecycle Impact	Implemented Case (Indonesia)	Key Challenges
CLT	Carbon sequestration; reduced emissions (40% less than RC)	High (walls, floors)	Imported limited	High durability, recyclable	Office towers (Jakarta), Pavilions	Cost vs. concrete, import regulation
Mycelium Composite	Biodegradable; low-energy manufacturing	Low-medium (panels)	Prototyping phase	Composting post-use	Expo pavilions (Bali), Startups	Scaling, moisture sensitivity
Hempcrete	Carbon-negative; thermal/acoustic insulation	Medium (walls, infill)	Emerging farms	Long-term CO ₂ storage	Eco-homes (Bandung, Yogyakarta)	Legal hemp status, industrial supply
Bamboo	Rapid renewable; low embodied energy	High (framing, structures)	Widespread	Biodegradable, low waste	Schools, homes, resorts	Perception as "poor man's" material, skill gaps
Bacterial Cellulose	Compostable; local urban upcycling	Low (wall/lighting)	Urban pilot scale	Biodegradable, translucent	Lampung co-ops, urban installations	Hygiene, scaling up
Algae Limestone	Carbon-negative; alternative to cement	High (concrete substitute)	Research only	Durable, synthetic	Not yet in operation	R&D, investment, scalability

Material Type	Environmental Benefit	Structural Applicability	Local Availability	Lifecycle Impact	Implemented Case (Indonesia)	Key Challenges
Biochar Composite	Long-term CO ₂ storage; replaces plastics	Low-medium (cladding)	Some urban/rural	Soil amendment post-use	Agro-waste panels (Java)	Standardization, mechanical limits
RCA Concrete	Resource saving; recycling demolition waste	High (structural)	Urban supply	Reduces landfill, emissions	Public buildings (Surabaya)	Quality control, policy adoption

Discussion

The discussion on innovation of eco-friendly materials in sustainable architectural design integrates various perspectives from life-cycle environmental impacts, technological development, economic viability, and socio-cultural factors. Drawing upon recent scholarly research, industry insights, and empirical case evaluations, this section elaborates on how these innovations are reshaping architectural practices, addresses inherent challenges, and outlines future trajectories that can accelerate mainstream adoption with lasting environmental and societal benefits (Roopesh et al., 2024).

The mounting urgency to mitigate climate change and resource depletion has thrust material selection to the forefront of sustainable architecture. As documented by Li (2024), sustainable materials must satisfy multifaceted criteria: they should be renewable, recycled or reused, locally sourced to reduce transport emissions, durable to ensure longevity, and energy-efficient over their life cycle (Li, 2024). These criteria ensure materials reduce not only operating energy but also embodied carbon and waste. The adoption of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) serves as a critical methodological framework here, enabling architects and engineers to holistically evaluate environmental burdens from extraction through demolition.

This systemic assessment reveals that innovative bio-based materials (e.g., cross-laminated timber, hempcrete, mycelium composites) achieve substantial embodied carbon reductions versus traditional concrete and steel. For instance, cross-laminated timber has been demonstrated to lower embodied carbon by up to 40%, as found in multiple studies. Hempcrete and mycelium have the additional benefit of continuing to sequester carbon post-installation, thus potentially achieving carbon-negative status. These attributes align strongly with circular economy principles discussed extensively in the literature (Abera, 2024).

The emergence of advanced manufacturing technologies such as 3D printing, automated fabrication, and bio-fabrication is revolutionizing eco-friendly material utilization. These technologies address conventional challenges related to shaping, waste reduction, and performance customization.

Mycelium-based composites exemplify this trend—grown in molds using agricultural waste as substrate, they require minimal energy inputs and produce biodegradable structures that can compost naturally after deconstruction. Similarly, 3D printing with bio-based materials enables rapid, on-site fabrication that drastically cuts construction waste, accelerates schedules, and allows for complex architectural forms optimized for climate responsiveness (Firoozi et al., 2024).

Smart materials such as phase change materials (PCMs) imbedded in walls provide thermal regulation by absorbing and releasing heat, reducing HVAC energy demand up to 30% in tropical and temperate zones. Moreover, innovations like self-healing concrete, leveraging microorganisms to autonomously repair cracks, offer significant lifespan extension potential and thus reduce resource consumption over building service life.

Together, these technological advances enhance not only environmental performance but also the practical viability of sustainable materials in diverse architectural applications, from residential to commercial and infrastructure projects (Kampilong et al., 2024). While innovations deliver clear environmental benefits, socio-economic and regulatory factors strongly influence the pace and scale of adoption. Multiple studies note that the initial cost premium of sustainable materials—ranging typically from 2% to 30% higher than conventional materials—creates market hesitation, particularly in cost-sensitive developing regions.

However, these upfront costs are increasingly offset by reduced operational and maintenance expenses, as well as by incentives such as green building certifications and subsidies. Lifecycle financial analyses frequently demonstrate that investments in sustainable materials yield positive net present value over 20-30 years through energy savings, longer durability, and waste reduction (Teku, 2025).

Regulatory barriers constitute another important challenge. Many novel materials lack clear national standards or certification pathways, which creates uncertainty for investors, contractors, and regulators. In Indonesia, for example, despite abundant availability of bamboo and other natural materials, widespread implementation is limited by incomplete regulations and absence of comprehensive material testing frameworks. Streamlining certification and incorporating bio-based materials into official building codes would accelerate mainstream acceptance.

Community perception also plays a major role. Innovative materials are sometimes perceived as experimental or inferior relative to conventional concrete or steel. Successful projects that integrate local culture and traditional building knowledge (e.g., bamboo framing combined with engineered connections) demonstrate that culturally sensitive sustainable design fosters better stakeholder buy-in and market acceptance.

Indonesia illustrates a compelling laboratory for the integration of eco-friendly materials in sustainable architecture. Its rich natural resource base—bamboo, hemp, agricultural wastes—combined with traditional craftsmanship traditions offers unique potential for circular, locally-rooted material economies.

Research and pilot projects confirm promising environmental and economic outcomes from bamboo construction, including embodied carbon reductions, structural strength

competitive with mild steel, and local employment generation. Waste upcycling innovations through kombucha SCOBY and coffee husks also exemplify circular principles, converting urban and agricultural waste into valuable building components (Korde, Patel and Sheoran, 2025).

However, challenges persist, including uneven distribution of technical expertise, inconsistent material quality, and fragmented supply chains. Additionally, the regulatory environment is evolving but still insufficiently supportive to enable scale. Public awareness campaigns and capacity-building initiatives have shown promise in enhancing adoption rates, particularly when combined with government-led green building incentives and international collaborations.

The integration of circular economy principles into material innovation is a pivotal opportunity to convert architectural design from a linear, resource-extractive process into a regenerative system. Ellen MacArthur Foundation and related literature highlight how design for disassembly, reuse, and recyclability minimizes waste and conserves materials.

This is exemplified in the use of recycled concrete aggregate (RCA), which can reduce raw material extraction and landfill use without compromising structural integrity. Similarly, biochar composites and algae-grown limestone represent carbon-storing materials that link construction with carbon sequestration at a systemic scale.

Design approaches supporting modular construction and prefabrication amplify circular benefits by allowing precise material use and facilitating building component reuse or recycling at end of life. Life Cycle Assessments consistently demonstrate that these practices yield significant carbon footprint reductions, decreased embodied energy, and enhanced resource efficiency.

Sustainable materials confer not only ecological but also human health benefits by improving indoor air quality (IAQ) and occupant well-being. Many traditional synthetic materials offgas volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that adversely affect respiratory and cognitive health. In contrast, bio-based materials such as hempcrete, mycelium composites, and bamboo emit no harmful chemicals and actively regulate indoor humidity, suppressing mold growth.

Studies confirm enhanced acoustic comfort, thermal stability, and daylighting benefits when sustainable materials are integrated into building envelopes, contributing to higher productivity and satisfaction among occupants. This qualitative benefit strongly drives growing market demand for green buildings.

Ongoing research gaps include the need for long-term durability data on newer bio-based materials under tropical and variable climatic conditions, which is essential to underpin building code acceptance and owner confidence. Accelerating multi-decade monitoring projects will facilitate broader material certification.

Scale-up of sustainable material manufacturing remains constrained by limited production infrastructure, supply chain fragmentation, and sometimes lack of raw material standardization. Investment in localized processing facilities and talent development is critical to reduce costs and broaden market availability.

Education and professional training are crucial for equipping architects, contractors, and policymakers with the knowledge and tools to specify and approve innovative sustainable materials competently and confidently. Promising prospects involve digital design integration wherein computational optimization links material properties, environmental simulation, and fabrication processes to maximize sustainability and performance. Artificial intelligence-guided material discovery can accelerate innovation cycles.

Further interdisciplinary collaboration between material scientists, architects, engineers, economists, and sociologists will enable holistic solutions addressing environmental impact, affordability, social acceptance, and circularity simultaneously—ensuring sustainable architecture is both visionary and pragmatic.

CONCLUSION

The discussion emphasizes that innovation in eco-friendly materials is a transformative force in sustainable architectural design, offering measurable environmental benefits alongside human and economic advantages. While technological advances in bio-based materials, carbon-negative composites, and smart materials enhance feasibility and performance, their widespread adoption faces socio-economic, regulatory, and cultural hurdles that require systemic solutions. Strong integration of technological innovation with local cultural context, circular economy principles, and supportive policy frameworks will accelerate the transition from experimental sustainable architecture toward mainstream, regenerative building practices. The role of rigorous life-cycle assessment and multi-stakeholder collaboration is pivotal in guiding material selection aligned with global sustainability goals. Eco-friendly material innovation signifies not just a technical advance but a paradigm shift—redefining architecture as a proactive participant in environmental restoration and human well-being rather than a source of ecological burden. Harnessing this potential will be essential for resilient, livable, and regenerative built environments in Indonesia and globally..

REFERENCE

- Abera, Y.A. (2024) 'Sustainable building materials: A comprehensive study on eco-friendly alternatives for construction', *Composites and Advanced Materials*, 33. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/26349833241255957>.
- Astafyeva, O.E. (2024) 'Transformation of the development model of the building materials industry with consideration to changes in the economic structure during digitalisation of business processes', *E-Management*, 7(1), pp. 4–14. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.26425/2658-3445-2024-7-1-4-14>.
- Dsilva, J., Zarmukhambetova, S. and Locke, J. (2023) 'Assessment of building materials in the construction sector: A case study using life cycle assessment approach to achieve the circular economy', *Heliyon*, 9(10), p. e20404. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20404>.
- Firoozi, Ali Akbar et al. (2024) 'Emerging trends in sustainable building materials: Technological innovations, enhanced performance, and future directions', *Results in*

- Engineering, 24, p. 103521. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.103521>.
- Ibe, C.N. et al. (2025) 'Optimizing circular economy practices in construction: a systematic review of material management strategies', Built Environment Project and Asset Management [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-02-2024-0026>.
- Kampilong, J.K. et al. (2024) 'Analysis of the Use of Environmentally Friendly Building Materials and Construction Waste Management on Cost Efficiency and Project Sustainability in Karawang', West Science Social and Humanities Studies, 2(09), pp. 1508–1519. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsshs.v2i09.1298>.
- Khan, Z. (2024) 'Empowering Sustainable Construction through Advanced Strategies for Energy-Efficient Green Building Materials', Archaeology & Anthropology: Open Access, 5(3). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.31031/AAOA.2024.05.000631>.
- Korde, N., Patel, P. and Sheoran, S. (2025) 'Traditional is Innovative: Redefining the Role of Conventional Building Materials and Construction Methods', Proceedings of the international conference of contemporary affairs in architecture and urbanism-ICCAUA, 8(1), pp. 253–261. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.38027/ICCAUA2025EN0378>.
- Li, S. (2024) 'Sustainable Material Selection in Architectural Design', in, pp. 4–10. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-222-4_2.
- Mathew, D., O'Hegarty, R. and Kinnane, O. (2023) 'Historic buildings to Positive Energy Buildings: a trilemma between energy efficiency, lifecycle carbon and architecture conservation.', Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2654(1), p. 012010. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2654/1/012010>.
- Morales-Beltran, M. et al. (2023) 'Redesigning for Disassembly and Carbon Footprint Reduction: Shifting from Reinforced Concrete to Hybrid Timber–Steel Multi-Story Building', Sustainability, 15(9), p. 7273. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097273>.
- Roopesh, M. et al. (2024) 'ADVANCED MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES FOR CYBERSECURITY: OPPORTUNITIES AND EMERGING CHALLENGES', International journal of science and engineering, 1(4), pp. 93–105. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.62304/ijse.v1i04.198>.
- Shafa, S. (2024) 'Smart Materials in Green Architecture: The Role of ETFE and Phase Change Materials in Sustainable Building Design', Journal of Design Studio, 6(2). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.46474/jds.1556305>.
- Teku, D. (2025) 'Geo-environmental and socio-economic impacts of artisanal and small-scale mining in Ethiopia: challenges, opportunities, and sustainable solutions', Frontiers in Environmental Science, 13. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1505202>.
- Yoon, J.H. and Arshid, S.R. (2025) 'Technology-enabled carbon emissions regulations for achieving net zero carbon built environment: a comprehensive framework', Carbon Management, 16(1). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2025.2499090>.