Sharing Economy Analysis of Cost Structure and Economies of Scale on Transportation Platforms

Kholilul Kholik[1], Syaiful Kadri[2]
[1,2] Master of Management Program, Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia

The sharing economy phenomenon has transformed the conventional transportation industry paradigm through asset digitization and resource allocation efficiency. This study aims to analyze the cost structure and economies of scale mechanism on application-based transportation platforms. Unlike traditional transportation companies that have heavy asset burdens, transportation platforms operate with an asset-light model where the capital costs of vehicle procurement and maintenance are transferred to driver partners. The analysis shows that the platform's cost structure is dominated by high fixed costs for the development of technology infrastructure, algorithms, and marketing, but has very low marginal costs for each additional service transaction. This condition allows for the creation of significant economies of scale; as user volume and network density increase, the average cost per service decreases dramatically. Network effects are the main catalyst in strengthening market position and creating barriers to entry for competitors. The study concluded that long-term profitability on this platform is highly dependent on the company's ability to reach break-even point through massive transaction volumes to cover initial technology investments.
Keywords: Cost Structure, Economies of Scale, Network Effects, Sharing Economy, Transportation Platforms.

Corresponding Author:
Kholilul Kholik
Master of Management Program,
Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia
Jl. Gatot Subroto No. km 4, Sumatera Utara 20122. Indonesia.
E-mail: kholilulkholik@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id

1. Introduction

The development of information and communication technology has changed the paradigm of consumption from private ownership to access-based consumption. This phenomenon is known as the sharing economy, a business model that relies on digital platforms to match underutilized assets with market demand in real time (Botsman & Rogers, 2010). In the transportation sector, the presence of platforms such as Uber, Grab, or Gojek has revolutionized the way urban mobility operates by optimizing the use of private vehicles as a means of public transportation.

Fundamentally, the main appeal of this transportation platform lies in the efficiency of its cost structure. Unlike conventional transportation companies that have to bear the burden of fixed assets (such as vehicle fleets and depots), sharing economy platforms operate on an asset-light model. According to Schor (2016), this model allows companies to shift maintenance costs and asset depreciation risks to driver partners. This creates a highly flexible cost structure, where variable costs dominate and the company's fixed operating costs can be minimized through algorithm automation.

Another unique characteristic is the creation of massive economies of scale through network effects. In the digital economy, the more users and partners join, the higher the value of benefits felt by the entire ecosystem (Sutherland & Jarrahi, 2018). Economies of scale on transportation platforms are achieved not only through a reduction in average costs per transaction, but also through the collection of big data, which enables route optimization and dynamic pricing.

However, the transition to a sharing economy also presents regulatory challenges and debates regarding long-term economic sustainability for partners. Although cost efficiencies are achieved for platform providers, the distribution of welfare and the burden of operating costs on the driver-partner side are often critical points that require further analysis. Therefore, understanding how cost structures interact with economies of scale is crucial for mapping the future sustainability of platform-based transportation businesses.

2. Method

To obtain accurate results, this study should use a descriptive quantitative approach supported by econometric analysis. The main focus is to see how marginal costs decrease as the transaction volume within the platform increases.

Identification and Categorization of Costs

The first step is to decompose the platform's cost structure. Unlike traditional transportation companies (such as conventional taxis), digital platforms have a very low proportion of variable costs relative to physical asset ownership.

A. Fixed Costs: Includes software development, server costs, and algorithm acquisition.
B. Variable Costs: These include promotional subsidies, transaction processing fees, and customer service.

Economies of Scale Analysis

The method used is Long-Term Cost Function Analysis. In the sharing economy, economies of scale are achieved through network effects. The more users and drivers there are, the lower the search costs and waiting times, which effectively reduces the average cost per trip. The data was analyzed using the cost elasticity formula:

$$EC = \frac{\% \Delta TC}{\% \Delta Q}$$

If $EC < 1$, then the platform is experiencing economies of scale.

Marginal Efficiency Analysis

Using Multiple Linear Regression to examine the effect of transaction volume (Q) on total cost (TC). In transportation platforms, efficiency is often found at the point where adding one new user adds almost no operational cost to the company (marginal cost approaches zero).

Data Validation Techniques

Primary data obtained from financial reports or field surveys must be validated using:

A. Classical Assumption Test: Ensures that the data is normal, there is no heteroscedasticity, and it is free of multicollinearity.
B. Comparative Analysis: Comparing the ratio of operating costs to revenue between the sharing economy business model and the conventional transportation business model.

3. Results And Discussion

Cost Structure Analysis and Economies of Scale on Digital Transportation Platforms

The sharing economy phenomenon has revolutionized the global transportation industry. Companies such as Grab, Gojek, and Uber do not operate as traditional transportation companies, but rather as digital intermediaries. This creates a unique economic dynamic, especially in terms of cost burden management and achieving large-scale efficiency.

Cost Structure Analysis: Asset-Light Model

Unlike conventional taxi companies, which have very high fixed costs, digital transportation platforms implement an "Asset-Light" model.

A. Capital Expenditure (Capex) Transfer: Asset ownership costs such as vehicle purchases, maintenance, insurance, and depreciation are transferred entirely to driver partners. This allows the platform to grow without being hampered by large amounts of physical capital.
B. Dominant Variable Costs: The main costs for the platform are server maintenance, algorithm development, and marketing. However, the marginal cost of adding a new transaction is very low—almost zero—once the technological infrastructure is in place.
C. Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC): In the early stages of growth, the cost structure is often dominated by subsidies and incentives (burning money) to attract both the supply side (drivers) and the demand side (passengers) simultaneously (Gawer & Cusumano, 2014).

Economies of Scale and Network Effects

Economies of scale in digital platforms are achieved not only through production volume, but also through the power of Network Effects.

A. Supply-Side Economies of Scale: The more drivers join, the wider the coverage area that can be served. This reduces waiting time for consumers, which automatically increases the value of the platform without adding to operating costs linearly.
B. Indirect Network Effects: Growth on one side (passengers) will attract growth on the other side (drivers). This vicious cycle of growth creates efficiency where the average cost per trip continues to decline as the total transaction volume within the application increases (Sundararajan, 2016).
C. Route Density: The optimal scale of economy in digital transportation is highly dependent on "density." In cities with high density, algorithms can match drivers and passengers more accurately, reducing deadhead miles (empty kilometers without passengers), thereby increasing the productivity of partner assets.

Competitive Advantage through Data and Algorithms

The cost structure of transportation platforms is also influenced by algorithm efficiency. The use of artificial intelligence for dynamic pricing (rates that change according to demand) allows platforms to balance the market in real time. Successfully managing this data is a form of non-traditional economies of scale; the more data the platform has, the smarter the algorithm works, thereby reducing transaction failure costs (McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017).

The sharing economy in the transportation sector is changing the cost structure from being based on physical assets to being based on technology and data. Economies of scale are no longer measured by how many vehicles are owned, but rather by the size of the user ecosystem and the efficiency of algorithms in matching supply and demand. This flexibility allows platforms to expand at a speed that would be impossible for conventional transportation businesses.

4. Conclusion

In the sharing economy model, transportation platforms (such as Grab, Gojek, or Uber) redefine the conventional transportation industry paradigm through resource allocation efficiency. Based on the analysis, several key points can be concluded as follows: Unlike traditional transportation companies that bear heavy operational costs, sharing economy platforms implement an asset-light model. Low Variable Costs: Vehicle maintenance, fuel, and insurance costs are transferred to driver partners. This allows the platform to expand without the risk of significant physical asset depreciation. Fixed Digital Costs: The platform's main costs focus on algorithm development, server maintenance, and marketing. This structure creates a high entry barrier at the outset, but the marginal cost of adding a new user approaches zero. Transportation platforms achieve economies of scale through network effects. The more drivers join, the shorter the wait time for passengers. Conversely, the more passengers there are, the higher the occupancy rate for drivers. Algorithm Efficiency: Economies of scale in the digital context are achieved when abundant data enables algorithms to work more precisely in matching supply and demand (matching efficiency), which ultimately reduces the cost per transaction. Market Dominance: Large economies of scale create barriers for new competitors, as the cost of acquiring users in a saturated market becomes very expensive. Although the cost structure is highly efficient, the sustainability of this model depends heavily on the balance between partner incentives and consumer rates. Without subsidies (burning money), the platform must find the optimal point where the economic value generated is sufficient to sustain the ecosystem without disproportionately burdening either party.

5. Referensi

arker, G. G., Van Alstyne, M. W., & Choudary, S. P. (2016). *Platform revolution: How networked markets are transforming the economy and how to make them work for you*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Botsman, R., & Rogers, R. (2010). *What's Mine Is Yours: The Rise of Collaborative Consumption*. HarperBusiness.

Schor, J. (2016). Debating the sharing economy. *Journal of Self-Governance and Management Economics*, 4(3), 7–22.

Sutherland, Will., & Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). The sharing economy and digital platforms: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, 328–341.

Belk, R. (2014). You are what you can access: Sharing and collaborative consumption online. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(8), 1595–1600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.10.001

Parente, R. C., Geleilate, J. M. G., & Rong, K. (2018). The sharing economy: Globalization and the interplay of resources, technologies, and institutional environments. *Journal of International Management*, 24(3), 219–235.

Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The sharing economy: The end of employment and the rise of crowd-based capitalism*. MIT Press.

Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2-3), 172–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003

Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 417-433.

McAfee, A., & Brynjolfsson, E. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The sharing economy: The end of employment and the rise of crowd-based capitalism*. MIT Press.

elk, R. (2014). You are what you can access: Sharing and collaborative consumption online. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(8), 1595–1600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.10.001

Cusumano, M. A., Gawer, A., & Yoffie, D. B. (2019). *The Business of Platforms: Strategy in the Age of Digital Competition, Innovation, and Power*. Harper Business.

Sundararajan, A. (2016). *The Sharing Economy: The End of Employment and the Rise of Crowd-Based Capitalism*. MIT Press.

Evans, D. S., & Schmalensee, R. (2016). *Matchmakers: The new economics of multisided platforms*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Rochet, J. C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1(4), 990–1029. https://doi.org/10.1162/154247603322493212

Varian, H. R. (2019). Artificial intelligence, economics, and industrial organization. *NBER Working Paper Series*, No. 24839. https://doi.org/10.3386/w24839

Zervas, G., Proserpio, D., & Byers, J. W. (2017). The rise of the sharing economy: Estimating the impact of Airbnb on the hotel industry. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 54(5), 687–705. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.15.0204

Einav, L., Farronato, C., & Levin, J. (2016). Peer-to-peer markets. *Annual Review of Economics*, 8, 615–635. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-080315-015334

Hagiu, A., & Wright, J. (2015). Multi-sided platforms. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 43, 162–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijindorg.2015.03.003

Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. (2016). The rise of the platform economy. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 32(3), 61–69.

Parker, G. G., & Van Alstyne, M. W. (2018). Innovation, openness, and platform control. *Management Science*, 64(7), 3015–3032. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2017.2757

Cohen, M., Hahn, R., Hall, J., Levitt, S., & Metcalfe, R. (2016). Using big data to estimate consumer surplus: The case of Uber. *NBER Working Paper Series*, No. 22627. https://doi.org/10.3386/w22627

Acquier, A., Daudigeos, T., & Pinkse, J. (2017). Promises and paradoxes of the sharing economy: An organizing framework. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 125, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.07.006